

830 P.M.
11.26.84
Elkdale

DWP—

at around noon today I began to make some "integration" in PN-I-78. My objective for the "session" was to completely incorporate your 18-page document, dated "19 April 1979," entitled: "A case of books from the HLR side of the family." The copy that I have is a dreadful Xerox, that I made during the second week of May, 1979 (original returned to you on 05-15-1979). Since I want to incorporate the entire document into PN..., I thought I could find a better copy in my file drawer marked "DWP." Seven and one-half hours have ^{already passed,} and I have not been able to locate a good copy that can be "passed down". If you are able to locate the original of the 18-page document in question, I would appreciate a good Xerox copy. What tangled me up is that you refer to the document in your 1978-1979 letter to me, but you do not include it in same.

A proper letter: all of that correspondence between you and me from 1976-1982 should be microfilmed. What we should do is file the two halves of the "Correspondence" together and then have the whole microfilmed. If you are able to assemble my letters to you for that period, I will insert them in the proper Chronological slots in the letter from you that I have. The seven and one-half hours referred to above were spent by S&P in arranging in Chronological order all of your letters. What a monumental job! You and I will both be

[S&P file copy]—S&P to DWP

11/26/84-p.2

sporting came and using ear trumpets by the time all the material in that massive Correspondence is completely integrated in PN..., and so I think it wise to have the entire Correspondence microfilmed at present. That way, there is less of a sense of urgency -- on my part, at any rate -- to incorporate everything that is stated in our Correspondence in the published family history. What we can do is this: I am getting news about having the only copy of the "revised and updated" PN...I (first published in 1978). I would like to continue to make "integration" for about a month or so, and then have the document microfilmed. Several thousand "additions" have already been made, by the way. What we can do is declare our Correspondence from 1978 (or before) up to 1982 or 1983 to be an Appendix to the revised and updated PN... that will be published on microfilm in 1985 (during the winter). Our entire Correspondence from April 20, 1983 up to and including October 7, 1984 has, of course, already been microfilmed.

Writing ^{to you} about this project has gotten me very worked up, in a very positive way. This is something that we must do, and we must do it now.

Wishing you--and all of the animals in your private zoo--good health, prosperity and peace of mind,
I remain — Robert